

ARiSO

AUSTRALIAN RAIL INDUSTRY
STANDARDS ORGANISATION

Type Approval — Signalling

CODE OF PRACTICE

Advancing safety and productivity

Notice to users

This ARISO product has been developed using input from rail experts from across the rail industry and represents good practice for the industry. The reliance upon or manner of use of this ARISO product is the sole responsibility of the user who is to assess whether it meets their organisation's operational environment and risk profile.

Development of this product was prepared by a Australian Rail Industry Standards Organisation (ARISO) Development Group consisting of representatives from the following organisations:

TfNSW, UGL, ARTC, TSA Riley, BHP, and Queensland Rail.

The Train Control Systems Standing Committee verified that ARISO's accredited process was followed in developing the product, before the ARISO Board approved the document for publication.

ARISO wishes to acknowledge the positive contribution of subject matter experts in the development of this Standard. Their efforts ranged from membership of the Development Group through to individuals providing comments on a draft of the Standard during the open review.

I commend this Code of Practice to the Australasian rail industry as it represents industry good practice and has been developed through a rigorous process.



Alan Fedda
Chief Executive Officer
Australian Rail Industry Standards Organisation

Keeping ARISO products up-to-date

Products developed by ARISO are living documents that reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, ARISO products are periodically reviewed, and new editions published when required. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Products developed by ARISO could also be withdrawn.

It is important that readers assure themselves that the ARISO product they are using is current, including any amendments that have been issued since the product was published. Information about ARISO products, including amendments, can be found by visiting www.ariso.org.au.

ARISO welcomes suggestions for improvements and asks readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Members are encouraged to use the change request feature of the ARISO website at: <http://www.ariso.org.au/products/>. Otherwise, please contact us via email at info@ariso.org.au or write to Australian Rail Industry Standards Organisation, GPO Box 1267, Brisbane QLD 4000, Australia.

Preface

This Code of Practice was prepared by the Type Approval – Signalling Development Group, overseen by the ARISO Train Control Systems Standing Committee.

The major changes in this revision are as follows:

- (a) Introduction of a nationally aligned, risk-based framework for signalling type approval, including alignment with the National Product Type Approval Framework to support consistency and cross-acceptance.
- (b) Formalization of a structured evidence framework through the Product Information Pack (PIP), including defined evidence categories and requirements to support consistent, evidence-based decision making.
- (c) Strengthening of cross-acceptance and change management processes, including structured context difference assessment and clearer criteria for assessing product changes.
- (d) Expansion of guidance, tools and processes for trials, decision-making and lifecycle management, aimed at improving consistency, traceability and assurance across type approval activities.

Objective

The objective of this Code of Practice is to provide guidance on the application of AS 7702 for signalling products and promote a common understanding of type approval requirements between suppliers of product for signalling applications and rail transport operators (RTOs).

This Code of Practice provides guidance to support consistent and effective application of AS 7702.

The terms shall, should, and may are used as follows:

- “shall” indicates a requirement that exists because it is mandated by AS 7702, other applicable standards, legislation or an RTO’s SMS.
- “should” indicates a recommended practice or approach that may be adopted by an RTO based on its risk tolerance and operating context.
- “may” indicates an optional practice or discretionary action.

This Code of Practice does not introduce new mandatory requirements.

ARISO products identify known hazards relevant to the railway industry. Appendix A provides a non-exhaustive list of hazards relevant to the scope of this document.

Document details

First published: Type Approval – Signalling, 2017

Document history

Publication Version	Effective Date	Reason for and Extent of Change(s)
2026	18 June 2026	This document has been reviewed to ensure it remains relevant and applicable. The latest review assessed the content, confirming that while updates were made to align with current industry practices, technologies, and regulatory requirements, the original authorship and copyright have been acknowledged as required.

Approval

Name	Date
Australian Rail Industry Standards Organisation	18 June 2026

Copyright

©ARISO

All rights are reserved. No part of this work can be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of ARISO, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968.

Published by the Australian Rail Industry Standards Organisation, GPO Box 1267, Brisbane QLD 4000, Australia.

Table of Contents

Section 1	Scope and general	6
1.1	Scope	6
1.2	Normative references	6
1.3	Defined terms and abbreviations.....	6
Section 2	Type approval guidance	9
2.1	General.....	9
2.1.1	Product Information Pack	9
2.1.2	Sample of products requiring signalling type approval	10
2.1.3	Product information categories	11
2.2	Failure modes and hazards	12
2.3	Type approval decision.....	12
2.4	Cross acceptance of type approval evidence.....	12
2.5	Assessment of changes to previously type approved products.....	12
2.6	Changed type approval	13
2.6.1	General.....	13
2.6.2	Assessable change.....	13
2.6.3	Non-assessable change	13
2.7	Grandfather rights.....	14
2.8	Document descriptions	14
Section 3	Type approval assessment	16
3.1	Type approval or supplier approval	16
3.2	Product Information Pack review.....	19
3.3	Changed type approved equipment	21
3.4	Approval of non-compliances	22
Section 4	Trials	25
4.1	General.....	25
4.2	Risk and safety considerations for trials	25
4.3	Trial management	27
4.3.1	General.....	27
4.3.2	Documentation and planning.....	27
4.3.3	Trial conditions.....	28
Section 5	Standards	29
Appendix A	Hazard Register (Informative)	30
Appendix B	Product Information Pack Indices (Informative)	32
B.1	General.....	32
B.2	Supplier approval	32
B.3	Equipment categories	33
B.4	Product Information Pack index matrix	34
B.5	Example Product Information Pack index	39

Appendix C	General Hazards (Informative)	40
Appendix D	Differences and Changes Checklists (Informative)	42
D.1	General	42
D.2	Checklist 1 – Context Differences	43
D.2.1	Identification of issues	43
D.3	Checklist 2 – Change control assessment	47
Appendix E	Trial Checklists (Informative)	48
E.1	General conditions for trial	49
E.2	Signals and indicators	51
E.3	Points and back drives	52
E.4	Track circuits, mechanical treadles and rail circuits	53
E.5	Level crossing systems and equipment	53
E.6	Relays, timers and flashers	54
E.7	Axle counters and electronic treadles	55
E.8	Software configurable logic controllers (including interlockings and crossing controllers) 55	
E.9	Asset protection devices	56
E.10	Power supply equipment	56
E.11	Monitoring equipment	57
E.12	Circuit protection devices	57
E.13	Train protection equipment	57
E.14	Remote telemetry units	58
E.15	Data communications	58
Appendix F	Standards (Informative)	60
F.1	General	60
F.2	Signals and wayside indicators	60
F.3	Points and detectors	60
F.4	Track circuits, mechanical treadles and rail circuits	60
F.5	Level crossing systems and equipment	60
F.6	Relays	61
F.7	Axle counters and electronic treadles	61
F.8	Software configurable logic controllers, (including interlockings)	61
F.9	Asset protection devices	61
F.10	Power supply equipment	61
F.11	Monitoring equipment	61
F.12	Circuit protection devices	61
F.13	Train protection equipment	62
F.14	Remote telemetry units	62
F.15	Data communications	62
	Bibliography (Informative)	63

Figures

Figure 1 Type approval or supplier approval decision diagram..... 17
 Figure 2 Product Information Pack review decision diagram 19
 Figure 3 Change assessment decision diagram 21
 Figure 4 Non-compliance assessment decision diagram 23
 Figure 5 Trial viability decision diagram 26

Tables

Table 1 Document Tools and Purpose 15
 Table 2 Context Differences Checklist 43
 Table 3 Change Control Assessment Checklist 47

Type Approval – Signalling
 PREVIEW ONLY

Section 1 Scope and general

1.1 Scope

This document is intended to be applied to the type approval of signalling products.

It provides guidance to support a consistent, transparent and risk-based approach to the assessment of signalling products prior to their acceptance for use in service. It includes the following:

- (a) Guidance on which products need to be type approved.
- (b) Detailed guidance on information to support type approval.
- (c) Scaling the type approval based on risk and criticality.
- (d) Addressing incremental adjustments to existing type approvals.
- (e) Addressing incremental adjustments to grandfather approvals.
- (f) Identifying practices for trialling equipment during assessment.
- (g) Guidance on cross acceptance of products.

Any product that affects the interoperability of signalling or onboard systems, whether trackside or onboard, requires assessment and authorization before entering service.

1.2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that *some* or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document:

- AS 7702:2023, *Rail Equipment Type Approval*
- AS/NZS 3112:2017, *Approval and test specification - Plugs and socket-outlets*

NOTE:

Documents for informative purposes are listed in a Bibliography at the back of the document.

1.3 Defined terms and abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply:

1.3.1

accredited standards authority

International Standards Organisation or International Electrotechnical Commission or its members

Note 1 to entry: Standards Australia, New Zealand Standards Organisation, American National Standards Institute and British Standards Institute are each an example of an accredited standards authority.

1.3.2

application condition

condition applied to a product approval that restricts or limits the use of the product in specific circumstances

Note 1 to entry: Application conditions can constrain use to particular design types, location types, operating environments or operational contexts.

1.3.3

approved supplier

supplier approved to provide goods to the RTO as per the requirements of the RTO procurement processes defined in their SMS